

Η

Annual Report 2023/2024

C

H

03 About ISCAS

04 ISCAS Vision, Mission & Values

05 ISCAS Team

06 Review of the Year

08 Subscribers & Providers

09 Facts & Figures

14 ISCAS Subscribers

About ISCAS

ISCAS is an Appropriate Body for the management of complaints about private healthcare.

It is recognised by regulators, including the Care Quality Commission (CQC), Healthcare Improvement Scotland (HIS), Healthcare Inspectorate Wales (HIW), Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority (RQIA) and other appropriate regulatory bodies, for example the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman (PHSO).

ISCAS is owned by the Centre for Effective Dispute Resolution (CEDR), a charity registered with the Charity Commission. ISCAS services are free to patients.

ISCAS promotes a Code of Practice for the Management of Complaints to which subscribing organisations adhere. If, after exhausting all ways to resolve a complaint, patient and subscriber cannot agree, reference to ISCAS by either party results in an independent adjudication, the results of which are binding on both parties. This is the Independent Review.

Should it raise doubts about the subscriber's effective compliance with the ISCAS Code of Practice, Information Sharing Agreements permit ISCAS to share these concerns with statutory regulators. Similarly ISCAS informs regulators about providers who come to notice for not providing private patients with access to a recognised independent review stage.

More information can be found at About Us on the ISCAS website: https://iscas.cedr.com



ISCAS Vision, Mission & Values



Our vision

To create the environment in which all patients have access to a high quality independent complaints system.

Our mission

To provide access to independent adjudication and promote compliance with the ISCAS Code of Practice as the recognised industry standard for complaints handling, wherever patients are treated in independent healthcare and in NHS PPU's.

Our values

Compassionate - we are empathetic, understanding and attentive to people's concerns. We resolve concerns appropriately.

Fair - we treat people, both patients and subscribers, fairly, proportionately and according to the evidence.

Responsive - we ensure that patient concerns are addressed swiftly according to the ISCAS Code of Practice and resolution is found.

Improving - we use feedback and lessons learned from complaints in training and in updating resources to continually improve people's experience of the complaints process in the independent healthcare sector.

The ISCAS Team



Jordan Yates

ISCAS Senior Case Manager Jordan is the first point of contact for patients, independent adjudicators and providers. Jordan has previously worked in a patient facing role for the NHS. She has many years of experience as a case administrator at CEDR, providing advice and assistance to consumers across a range of dispute resolution services and schemes.



Graham Massie ISCAS Director & Company Secretary

John Munton **ISCAS** Director & Manager











Sally Taber ISCAS Executive Director



Des Shiels ISCAS Director

Review of the Year

by Sally Taber, **ISCAS Exectutive Director** ISCAS has had a busy year and I would like to thank the ISCAS team led by Jordan Yates, ISCAS Senior Case Manager, for their hard work and excellent support to patients and subscribers.

Our Independent Adjudicators issued 112 reports this year, as compared to 90 last year. Again, the most common head of complaint this year is about complaints management, rating almost equally with those about Consultants. ISCAS has brought to the attention of the GMC and the Association of British Insurers the prevalence of this head of complaint concerning Consultants.

Learning and Improvement

A key development this year has been the launch of four training videos produced

for subscribers to assist with the understanding of the Code. They are essential tools for good complaints management:

> General Complaint Handling Principles Under the ISCAS Code

> > Stage 1: Managing Complaints Under the ISCAS Code

- Stage 2: Managing Complaints Under the ISCAS Code
- Stage 3: Managing Complaints Under the ISCAS Code

Systematic use of these videos by all concerned will result in fewer complaints about how complaints are managed. Suzy Ashworth, ISCAS adjudicator, is to be thanked for choreographing the production of the videos so professionally.

Formal training was delivered for two subscriber organisations: Practice Plus, which involved representatives from their clinical teams and all their medical teams; and Circle with the key representatives from the 52 complaints handling teams within the Hospitals. Feedback was used from a recent adjudication on a third stage complaint.

Both training sessions received positive feedback.

Guidance documents

Position Statements provided for Subscriber organisations are as follows:

| ISCAS Code of Practice |
|---|
| ISCAS Patients' Guide |
| Goodwill Payment Guide |
| Guidance for Managing Unacceptable Behaviour by Complainants |
| ISCAS Position Statement on Complaints Management and Practic |
| ISCAS Position Statement Complaints Management Fees |
| ISCAS Position Statement - Complaint Handling v Clinical Negliger |
| Complaints relating to consultants and/or medical care |
| ISCAS Position Statement - Criteria in which ISCAS Adjudicators v |
| |

Stakeholder engagement

ISCAS is pleased to let ISCAS subscribers know that ISCAS is now a Corporate member of IHPN - the Independent Healthcare Partners Network. The Association has been recognised for its ability to take the important public private agenda forward both from the corporate view as well as the clinical agenda.

Information sharing meetings have been held with the System Regulators, the Care Quality Commission, Healthcare Improvement Scotland and the Healthcare Inspectorate Wales. A meeting will be planned with RQIA now that their government is in place.

Review of ISCAS service

Finally, we can report that we have launched an initiative to review the efficiency of the ISCAS service. This work, which is being led by Tom Earley, CEDR Principal Adjudicator, includes the following:

Introduction of an application form

- An application form will be prepared that patients will • We are looking into the potential for the adoption of a pro be asked to articulate the nature of their complaint in an forma template for experts to provide their responses in application form, what outcome(s) they would like, and a more focused way.
- to provide their consent to adjudication. The aim is to • The adoption of a pro forma expert request form with avoid a lengthy process of creating heads of complaint. simplified questions is under consideration.
- · Providers will in turn be asked to issue a response/ defence to the points raised by the patient rather than sending in the full patient records.
- This will be trialled as a pilot project to determine whether it adds value to the ISCAS process.



Clinical expert reports

Format/content of adjudicators' reports

· A project is being undertaken to make adjudicators' reports more concise and reader-friendly while retaining detail where necessary. Particular focus will be paid to reducing the recitation of the events at Stages 1 and 2 of the complaints process.

Subscribers & Providers

Subscribers to ISCAS

Organisations that subscribe to ISCAS fall into the broad categories of Acute General Hospital, Mental Health Hospital, NHS Private Patient Unit, Cosmetic Provider, 'Other' specialist clinic and the Independent Doctors Federation (IDF).

The list of subscribers is presented at the end of the report.

NHS Private Patient Units

ISCAS believes that, when patients seek private treatment within NHS PPU units, they should have access to an External Review Stage should they have a complaint that cannot be settled. This is consistent with Recommendation 6b of the Paterson Enquiry stated that:

We recommend that all private patients should have the right to mandatory independent resolution of their complaint.

However, we remain concerned that there are many NHS PPUs that do not subscribe to ISCAS, although there are some examples of good practice such as Moorfields Private Healthcare, Imperial Private Healthcare and University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.

Private Fertility Units

ISCAS has approached Private Fertility Units following guidance issued from the Competition and Marketing Authority which signposts to ISCAS as one of the options a complaint can be escalated to. Some limited progress is being made.

Cosmetic Surgery Providers

Those cosmetic providers who subscribe to ISCAS have been active in addressing good practice such as by participating in PHIN and inputting into the Breast Implant Register.

More generally, however, more work needs to be done in this area. ISCAS gave robust evidence to the special working group set up by the Department of Health to address the issue that patients were being lured to Turkey for cheaper treatments and frequently returning with serious complications that needed to be treated by the NHS. Furthermore, several patients did not return, having lost their lives after very poor treatment. ISCAS was very pleased to assist with this important work and in particular to report what was happening in No 1 Harley Street (note this was not owned by the De Walden Estate) and involve the GMC despite the fact that the Doctors interviewing potential patients were not on the GMC Register and would not be likely to be in any circumstance.

However, there is continuing concern about non-surgical cosmetic providers with instances of poor care and practice and the extent of unregulated providers.







Facts & Figures

Referrals to ISCAS

At the Independent Adjudication stage, more than half of complainants are referred to ISCAS by ISCAS subscribers, while a sizeable number of complainants hear about ISCAS by carrying out their own enquiries on the internet. Table 1 shows how people were signposted to ISCAS before their complaint reached independent adjudication.

How people hear about ISCAS prior to Independent Adjudication





Complaints managed by ISCAS

During this reporting period, complainants were able to contact us via telephone, email or letter with a concern. Some of these contacts were received prematurely and the complainants were signposted back to the provider to complete Stages 1 and 2. Of note, some of the enquiries received related to non ISCAS subscribers and these complainants were signposted to the relevant regulator or other bodies for advice. In summary, we have seen an increase in Stage 3 requests, and this shows that providers are signposting appropriately to ISCAS.

Of the 149 completed applications received relating to ISCAS subscribers, 112 complainants forwarded to an Independent Adjudicator had their adjudication completed during the financial year. Note that some of these cases were received in the previous financial year and had decisions issued in this current financial year.

The ISCAS Management Team has an important role in managing complainant expectations, particularly when they are considering progressing to Independent Adjudication. Some complainants have unrealistic expectations about the possible outcomes of adjudication - seeking a refund, revision surgery and/or financial compensation. These complainants are signposted to more appropriate forums.

Adjudication facts and figures

In this reporting period, 112 complainants received a final decision from an Independent Adjudicator. Within these complaints, adjudicators identified 343 Heads of Complaint, up from 288 the previous year. This is reflected in the table below.

Total number of Adjudicated Complaints and Heads of Complaint

| | Apr 2019 - Mar 2020 | Apr 2020 - Mar 2021 | Apr 2021 - Mar 2022 | Apr 2022 - Mar 2023 | Apr 2023 - Mar 2024 |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Total number of complaints adjudicated | 111 | 109 | 88 | 90 | 112 |
| Total heads of complaints | 348 | 624 | 344 | 288 | 343 |

Type of Heads of Complaint at Independent Adjudication

The following table shows the five largest categories of Heads of Complaint.



Of note, this year we saw an increase in the number of Discharge complaints (7% as opposed to 4.8% last year). This differed from Nursing which was more prominent last financial year. Complaints Handling has consistently featured over the years as the largest head of complaint and providers should focus on ways of ensuring their complaints handling is aligned with the ISCAS Code.







In each adjudication report, adjudicators either: 'uphold', 'partially uphold' or 'do not uphold' a particular head of complaint. The following table illustrates that the majority (63%) of complaint heads are either 'upheld' or 'partially upheld' by adjudicators, which is a decrease from the 71% reported last year.

Heads of Complaint upheld at Independent Adjudication stage



Adjudication costs

Individual ISCAS subscribers bear the cost of adjudications. The average cost of an adjudication case in this reporting period was £1,819, a 6% increase over last year's level which reflects an increase this year in rates payable to Adjudicators. As noted previously, ISCAS management are currently undertaking a review of the Stage 3 process which will hopefully benefit all stakeholders.

Overall Independent Adjudication costs

| | Apr 2022 - Mar 2023 | Apr 2023 - Mar 2024 |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Adjudicator costs | £151,844 | £203,794 |
| Goodwill payment awards | £53,910 | £61,876 |
| Clinical expert costs | £21,200 | £42,585 |

Goodwill payments were awarded in around 68% of completed cases in this reporting period, a decrease of 11% from last year. The average goodwill payment was £815, a slight increase from the previous year of £759.

Goodwill payments

Upheld

Partially upheld

Not upheld

| | Apr 2019 - Mar 2020 | Apr 2020 - Mar 2021 | Apr 2021 - Mar 2022 | Apr 2022 - Mar 2023 | Apr 2023 - Mar 2024 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Cases in which payments made | 102 | 90 | 67 | 71 | 76 |
| % of cases attracting a payment | 92% | 83% | 77% | 79% | 68% |
| Total costs | £61,683 | £55,206 | £38,776 | £53,910 | £61,876 |
| Average award | £605 | £613 | £579 | £759 | £815 |

Expert clinical advice

Independent Adjudicators, where applicable, may require the use of expert clinical advice to support the adjudication process. Clinical reports are made available to complainants and providers when the adjudicator issues their decision.

In this reporting period, 15 cases (13%) required expert clinical advice, a slight increase from last year's 11 (12%). The total costs associated with expert clinical advice came to £42,585 or an average of £2,839 per case requiring expert clinical advice compared to last year's £1,927. It is notable that the average costs associated with an expert has increased markedly from the previous year, and ISCAS management are monitoring fees and are reviewing the process to explore whether these costs can be reduced.

| | Apr 2019 | Apr 2020 | Apr 2021 | Apr 2022 | Apr 2023 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | - Mar 2020 | - Mar 2021 | - Mar 2022 | - Mar 2023 | - Mar 2024 |
| % of cases requiring expert clinical advice | 14% | 24% | 16% | 12% | 13% |

Complaints about ISCAS

Following the implementation of CEDR's 3 stage Complaints Procedure, we received two complaints about ISCAS during the year. Both complaints were deemed out of scope as they related solely to an adjudicator's decision. Such complaints are not eligible for review under the published Complaints Procedure, so were closed as resolved at stage 1.



Subscribers to ISCAS

108 Medical Ltd 152 Harley Street Active Care Group Adnova Clinic Aesthetic Beauty Centre Al Beauty Clinic Alliance Medical Ascot Rehabilitation Centre Auris Ear Care Babylon Healthcare Services Ltd Bella Vou Benenden Hospital BPAS Brigstock Skin & Laser Centre **Bupa Cromwell Hospital Bupa Health Clinics** Care Oncology Clinic Castle Craig Hospital CC Kat Aesthetics Centre for Reproductive Immunology and Pregnancy Centre for Sight Chase Lodge Hospital Chelsea and Westminster Hospital & West Middlesex Private Care Circle Health Group Clatterbridge Private Clinic



Cleveland Clinic Clinical Partners Cobalt Health Cognacity Health Limited Community Health and Eyecare Ltd Coppergate Clinic Cosmetic Surgery Partners Coyne Medical Custom Vision Clinic Derby Private Health Doctor Now Ltd t/as Doctor Now and The Beaconsfield Clinic Doctors 4 you Dr Alexandra Chambers Medical and Aesthetic Practice EA Clinic East Sussex Private Patient Unit Elanic Embankment Place Primary Healthcare Ltd Epsomedical Essex Private Doctors Ltd Evolve Medical Exeter Eye LLP Facial Plastic Surgery Fairfield Independent Hospital Fleet Street Clinic Fortius Clinic Genesis Cancer Care UK Ltd Great Ormond Street NHS Hospital for Children Foundation Trust, International & Private Grespi Ltd Guy's and St Thomas' Private Healthcare (Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospitals) Hair Science Institute Harley Health Village Harley Street Injectables

Harley Street Ultrasound Group

Health Bridge Limited t/as Zava (Superdrug Online Doctor inclusive) HealthHero Solutions Ltd Hearts First Ambulance Service Heathrow Medical Services LLP Hereford Vision Surgical Group Herts And Essex Fertility Centre Horder Healthcare (McIndoe Surgical Centre) Hospital of St John and St Elizabeth IESO Digital Health Illuminate Skin Clinic Imperial Private Healthcare Independent Doctors Federation InHealth (Vista Health) Ion Kavouni London (Aesthetic Plastic Surgery and Kosmesis Ltd) iTrust fertilitv Japan Green Medical Centre Ltd Jorja Healthcare Holdings Limited Kase Care KIMS Hospital Limited King Edward VII Hospital Sister Agnes Kings Fertility Knightsbridge Doctors **KP** Aesthetics KSL Clinic LANCuk Laser Vision Limited Liverpool Skin Clinics London Medical London Pregnancy Clinic - Ultrasound Link (City Ultrasound) London Psychiatry Clinic Lycahealth Leasing Limited Manchester Private Hospital

HCA Healthcare Health and Longevity

Optimisation Ltd t/as Hooke

Mayo Clinic Healthcare LLP Medical Equipment Solutions Ltd Medical Imaging Partnership Medneo Diagnostics UK Limited MET Medical Ltd Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Private Care Midland Eye Midland Health Midlands Ultrasound & Medical Services MindOf Limited Moorfields Private Eye Hospital **MSI** Reproductive Choices Murakami Medical Centre My iClinic MyBreast Limited NAFS Health Netri Cosmetic Surgery New Medica New Victoria Hospital Nightingale Hospital North Bristol Private Hospital Nova Healthcare Nuffield Health One Heart Clinic Optegra Eye Health Care Orri Limited OSD Healthcare Ouronyx Limited Pall Mall Medical Pearl Aesthetics t/as Hunar Clinic Peppy Health Phoenix Hospital Group - Weymouth Street Hospital (One Healthcare inclusive) Practice Plus Group Precision Medical Clinic Private GP Clinic Private Midwives Limited Private Specialist GPS Ltd t/as My Specialist GP

Mayfair Medicum

PrivateDoc Limited Pro-Med Surgical t/as G PSL Clinics QS Enterprises Ltd Quality Health Care Queen Anne Street Med Ramsay Health Care Randox Health Regent's Park Heart Clir Renovo Care Riviera Psychology Ltd t/as Autism Assessme Royal Free PPU Royal National Orthopae - Private Care Royal Papworth Hospita Rushcliffe Care Group Sana Sancta Maria Hospital Schoen Clinic UK Sheffield Teaching Hosp Sk:n Clinics (The Harley Medical G SmartTMS South East Eye Surgeor Spencer Private Hospita Spire Healthcare Ltd (London Doctor's Clini St Hugh's Hospital St Joseph's Hospital Sulis Hospital Bath Surgical Recovery Londo Surrey Cardiovascular C Surrey Orthopaedic Clini TAC Healthcare Group L Taktouk Clinic The Battersea Clinic Ltd The Brook Surgery The Cadogan Clinic The Doctors Laboratory The Doctors Practice The Evewell

Private Ultrasound Scar

| 1 | The Family Treatment Service |
|------------------|--|
| | The GP Surgery Ltd |
| Gro Clinics | The Hamptons Hospital |
| | The Harley Street General Practice Ltd |
| | The Harley Street Hospital |
| | The Health Suite |
| lical Centre | The Lawrence Clinic |
| | The Linbury Doctors Ltd |
| | The London Clinic |
| nics Ltd | The London Psychiatry Centre |
| | The Medika Group |
| | The Mews Practice |
| ent UK | The New Foscote Hospital Limited |
| | The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals |
| edic Hospital | NHS Foundation Trust Private Care |
| | The Parkside Suite |
| al | The Plastic Surgery Group |
| | The Priory Group Ltd |
| | The Royal Buckinghamshire Hospital |
| | The Sefton Suite |
| | The Standing CT Company |
| itals NHS Trust | The Soke |
| | The Surrey Park Clinic |
| Group inclusive) | The Virtual Catheter Lab Holdings |
| | The Wells Suite |
| าร | (Maidstone & Tunbridge Wells |
| als | NHS Trust) |
| | The Whiteley Clinic |
| ic inclusive) | TPC Group |
| | Ulster Independent Clinic |
| | UME Diagnostics |
| | University College London Hospitals |
| on | NHS Foundation Trust - Private Care |
| Clinic | University Hospital Southampton |
| ic | NHS Foundation Trust |
| _td | Veincentre |
| | Vie Aesthetics |
| I | Welbeck Health Partners |
| | (One Welbeck) |
| | Wimbledon Neuro-Care |
| , | Woodlands Suite - Private Care |
| | at the Royal Orthopaedic Hospital |
| | Yorkshire Skin Centre |

15

ISCAS INDEPENDENT SECTOR COMPLAINTS ADJUDICATION SERVICE

У @ISCAS_UK

Independent Sector Complaints Adjudication Service Ltd Registered in England and Wales Number 07474408 100 St. Paul's Churchyard, London EC4M 8BU Tel: 020 7536 6091 Email: info@iscas.org.uk